1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MARTON RIBERA SCHUMANN & CHANG LLP HECTOR RIBERA (221511) hector@martonribera.com 548 Market St., Suite 36117 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 360-2511 Attorney for Plaintiff SEMICAPS Pte Ltd. (Additional Counsel for Plaintiff listed below signature line)	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Attorney for Defendants Hamamatsu Corporation et. al (Additional Counsel for Defendants listed below signature line)	
10	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
11 12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SEMICAPS PTE LTD.	Case No: 17-cv-3440-DMR	
14			
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EXTENSION TO CASE	
16	V.	SCHEDULE	
17	HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K., HAMAMATSU CORPORATION, and		
18	PHOTONICS MANAGEMENT CORP.,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1

5

7

8910

13 14

11

12

16 17

15

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, the parties, by and through their respective Counsel, hereby stipulate to move the deadlines for expert discovery and filing dispositive motions, if any. The parties stipulate that the deadlines for the close of expert discovery and filing dispositive motions be moved out by one month, with the remaining deadlines unchanged.

The parties have settled in principle and seek the requested extension to permit the parties sufficient time to finalize the settlement agreement.

The parties have previously stipulated to extend the deadline for Defendants Hamamatsu Corporation and Photonics Management System to respond to the Complaint by 90 days—thus allowing them to have the same response date to the complaint as Defendant Hamamatsu Photonics K.K.—and to further extend the deadline for Defendants to respond to the Complaint by four weeks following the lifting of the stay pending *inter partes* review of the sole asserted patent. The parties later stipulated to extend the deadline for Defendants to answer the Complaint by one week after the Court's order denying Defendants' motion to dismiss. The parties also stipulated to extend by one week the time for Plaintiff to file its reply brief in support of its claim construction positions. In July 2020, the parties also stipulated to extend the deadline for completion of discovery and the filing of dispositive motions. The parties also stipulated to move out the completion of discovery and dispositive motions to accommodate written depositions of foreign witnesses. In September 2021, the parties stipulated to move out the deadline for opening and rebuttal expert reports, close of expert discovery, and dispositive motions. In October 2021, the parties further stipulated to extend the deadlines for rebuttal expert reports, close of expert discovery, and dispositive motions. In November 2021, the parties further stipulated to extend the deadline for the close of expert discovery. In December 2021, the parties further stipulated to extend the deadline for the close of expert discovery. The parties have not made any other requested extensions, and this stipulation affects only the dates recited below:

Event	Current Deadline	New Deadline
Close of Expert Discovery	January 24, 2022	February 24, 2022

Dispositive (Summary Judgment) Motions	February 28, 2022	March 28, 2022
Hearing on Dispositive Motions	To be set by Court	To be set by Court
Pretrial Conference	To be set by Court	To be set by Court
Trial	To be set by Court	To be set by Court

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

	,	
7	Respectfully submitted,	Dated: January 25, 2022
8	By: /s/ Phillip J. Haack	By: /s/ David Eiseman
9 10	MARTON RIBERA SCHUMANN & CHANG LLP	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
11		David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com
12	HECTOR RIBERA (221511) hector@martonribera.com	davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111
	RYAN J. MARTON (223979) ryan@martonribera.com	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
13	CAROLYN CHANG (217933)	,
14	carolyn@martonribera.com PHILLIP J. HAACK (262060)	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
15	phil@martonribera.com	Ryan S. Goldstein (Bar No. 208444) ryangoldstein@quinnemanuel.com
16	548 Market Street, Suite 36117 San Francisco, California 94104	865 S. Figueroa St., Floor 10 Los Angeles, California 90017
17	Telephone: (415) 360-2511	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff SEMICAPS Pte Ltd.	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
19		SULLIVAN, LLP Jared W. Newton (admitted pro hac vice)
20		jarednewton@quinnemanuel.com
21		K. Kevin Chu (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) kevinchu@quinnemanuel.com
22		1300 I Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005
23		Telephone: (202) 538-8000 Facsimile: (202) 538-8100
24		MINAMINO LAW OFFICE, PLLC
25		Koichiro Minamino (admitted <i>pro hac vic</i> mick@minaminolaw.com
		2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005
26		Telephone: (202) 777-3638
27		Attorney for Defendants Hamamatsu et al.
28		12.131110 joi 2 ojonaaniis 11amamaish et al.

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

The parties shall file a joint status report by no later than Feb. 25, 2022. By: _

Jan. 26, 2022 Dated:

Honorable Lonn Judge of the United States District IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Donna M. Ryu

1	ATTESTATION IN CONCURRENCE OF FILING			
2	In accordance with the Northern District of California's General Order No. 45, Section X.(B),			
3	I, David Eiseman, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each			
4	of the other signatories who are listed on the signature pages.			
5	5			
6				
7	7 David Eiseman			
8	8			
9	9			
10	10			
11	11			
12	12			
13	13			
14	14			
15	15			
16	16			
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25	25			
26	26			
27	27			
28	28			